1996

Dear Jim,

12/19/88

The copies of the FBI's wiretapping records in which I am mentioned of which I told you yesterday were in today's mail, delayed a little.

I'm enclosing copies of the pages mentioning me and the cover sheet for each authorized intercept.

I'm sure the HSCA person referred to is Mike Ewing. He and I had quite a hassle about this, particularly after he threatened misuse.

I never had a brother. The Willie referred to is a distant cousin I have no recollection of ever having seen. He was older and never home when we visited his parents, for the last time when I was perhaps nine years old at the oldest.

Some years ago the FBI leaked some of its intercepts of Willie to Jack Anderson, who used them in a column or columns. So they have the name correctly because it was correct in those intercepts.

This illustrates the difficulty of suing the FBI, which is immune in any offense. I was quite accurate in telling Judge Green that they were misrepresenting to her, much as it seemed to shock her. They did it all the time then, before and since.

If you use this, please remember also that I stated under oath and subject to the penalties of perjury that they had and have more like what I identified close. Going back to before Pearl Harbor and including the stuff we found hidden in the big tickler but lied about in their denials during the litigation. This is where they have me filed in some six bank robbery files (I'm sure there are more- I identified six) in which they had their illegal tapping of the Rays hidden. You will recall, and the case record reflects, Hoover asked permission and the AG would not give it. So, they do it anyway and they misfile to hide and frustrate search. If the Springfield F.O. had had the slightest notion of what we were into they'd have found some way to frustrate us, if in fact they didn't. Remember my requewt of all 59 field offices? None came up with any such transcripts and either Washington or New Orleans or both must have these, in addition to FBIHQ. But they all denied having any such thing. They were within 1996. I've checked, I have no separate Srpingfield folder in the FBI's responses, so I got nothing at all from it. More lying because it distributed copies to other fiwld offices within this litigation, more lying in this litigation, more evidence of the difficulty of suing the lying FBI.

To refresh you on what I told Judge Green under oath, my first knowledge of my being picked up on FBI electronic surveillance came from an assistant attorney general in charge of the Criminal Division. Need a better source? The FBI lied to Judge Green about that, which dates to before Pearl Harbor.

If ypu have any need to consult the full sets I got today I'm piling them in the subject file in the basement, so remind me.

Best,

Harold